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#### Abstract

By means of a commutation formula, I give a simple proof of the upper bound of Wong et al on the gap between the first two eigenvalues in the Schrödinger operator. Unfortunately this proof does not seem to generalise into higher dimensions.


In the last two years there has been some interest in estimating the gap between the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator (Kirsch and Simon 1985, Wong et al 1984). The purpose of this comment is to give a simple proof of the upper bound on the gap between the first two eigenvalues found by Wong et al (1984). The proof I give here is based on a commutation formula and, unfortunately, only seems to work in one dimension.

Consider the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{d}^{2} u / \mathrm{d} x^{2}+V u=\lambda u \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the finite interval $(a, b)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Here $V(x)$ is a bounded non-negative potential defined on $[a, b]$. Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ denote the first and second non-zero eigenvalues of this equation. Then, we have the following.

Lemma.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1} \leqslant 4 \lambda_{1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks.
(i) Actually Wong et al (1984) have proved this lemma in dimension $n$. In that case (2) reads $\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1} \leqslant(4 / n) \lambda_{1}$.
(ii) From equation (2) one can obtain the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1} \leqslant[2 \pi /(b-a)]^{2}+4 \sup _{[a, b]} V \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Wong et al 1984).
Proof. Let $u_{1}$ be the ground state of the Schrödinger operator $-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} x^{2}+V$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions on ( $a, b$ ). Let $v$ be the logarithmic derivative of $u_{1}$, i.e. $v=u_{1}^{\prime} / u_{1}$ (here ' denotes differentiation with respect to $x$ ). It is well known (see, e.g., Crum

[^0]1955, Deift 1978) that the Schrödinger operator $-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} x^{2}+\tilde{V}$ with $\tilde{V} \equiv V-2 v^{\prime}$ has the same spectrum as $-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} x^{2}+V$ except for $\lambda_{1}$. Thus, $\lambda_{2}$ is the ground state of $-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} x^{2}+$ $\tilde{V}$. We then estimate $\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}$ using the Rayleigh-Ritz variational principle. In fact,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1} & \leqslant\left[u_{1},\left(-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} x^{2}+\tilde{V}\right) u_{1}\right]-\left[u_{1},\left(-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} x^{2}+V\right) u_{1}\right] \\
& =\left[u_{1},(\tilde{V}-V) u_{1}\right]=-2\left(u_{1}, v^{\prime} u_{1}\right) \\
& =-2 \int_{a}^{b} u_{1}^{2} v^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, integrating the right-hand side of (4) by parts we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1} \leqslant 4 \int_{a}^{b} u_{1} u_{1}^{\prime} v \mathrm{~d} x=4 \int_{a}^{b}\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

However,

$$
\lambda_{1}=\int_{a}^{b}\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{a}^{b} V u_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

and $V$ is non-negative; hence $\int_{a}^{b}\left(u_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \lambda_{1}$, which concludes the proof of the lemma.
Unfortunately, the commutation formula we use here is very much tied to one dimension and therefore this proof does not seem to go over into higher dimensions.
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